



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/563,239

01/27/2006

Carsten Eusterbarkey

SCH-16446

8758

40854 7590 10/16/2008
RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP
38210 Glenn Avenue
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808

EXAMINER

ANDRISH, SEAN D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3672

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/16/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/563,239	Applicant(s) EUSTERBARKEY, CARSTEN	
	Examiner SEAN D. ANDRISH	Art Unit 3672	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 6, 8 - 22, and 24 - 27 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 6, 8 - 22, and 24 - 27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. The figures should not be handwritten;
 - b. Each embodiment should be illustrated in a separate figure. The left side of Fig. 1 represents one embodiment of the claimed invention and the right side of Fig. 1 represents a second embodiment of the claimed invention. Examiner recommends illustrating each of the embodiments of Fig. 1 in a separate figure and numbering them as Fig. 1A and Fig. 1B, or something similar.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. The phrase “to attached” recited in paragraph 0054 should be “are attached”.
 - b. The term "emitted" recited in paragraph 0060 should be "omitted".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 – 6, 8, 10, 14, 16 – 22, and 24 - 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by De Medieros, Jr. et al. (5,228,806).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 19, 21, and 26, De Medieros, Jr. et al. discloses a foundation comprising: an elongate pile element (44); a reinforcement element (42); and a gap (46) partially filled with an elevated specific weight composition such as high specific weight mortar or hematite (Figs. 1 and 2; column 2, lines 45 - 50).

Regarding claim 4, De Medieros, Jr. et al. further discloses a pile-like device which is an extension of a vertical axis of the construction (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 5, De Medieros, Jr. et al. further discloses a plurality of piles (column 1, lines 11 – 14).

Regarding claims 8 and 10, De Medieros, Jr. et al. further discloses annular accumulations of mortar or hematite applied to the tubes (Fig. 5B; column 2, lines 45 – 50). The

Art Unit: 3672

mortar or hematite positioned between the inner and outer tubes above flange 66 is considered the means for increasing transfer of shear.

Regarding claims 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, and 27, De Medieros, Jr. et al. further discloses a junction piece (flange 66, tubular ring 64) (Figs. 5B, 6B, and 7).

Regarding claims 24 and 25, De Medieros, Jr. et al. further discloses introducing the pile into the ground by a drilling method (Fig. 2; column 1, lines 45 – 52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Medieros, Jr. et al. in view of Holley, Jr. (3,851,483). De Medieros, Jr. et al. discloses all of the limitations of the above claim(s) except for means for increasing transfer of shear are disposed on an inner face of an outer tube and on an outer face of an inner tube. Holley, Jr. teaches shear transmitting diaphragms (14, 15) (Fig. 2; column 3, lines 15 – 22) to maintain a space between the inner and outer tubes. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the structure as disclosed by De Medieros, Jr. et al. with the shear transmitting diaphragms as taught by Holley, Jr. to maintain a space between the inner and outer tubes.

7. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Medieros, Jr. et al. in view of Holley, Jr. as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of

Art Unit: 3672

Allen (2,080,406). De Medieros, Jr. et al. in view of Holley, Jr. discloses all of the limitations of the above claim(s) except for the means for increasing the transfer of shear comprises at least three fins. Allen teaches a plurality of spacers (16) (Fig. 1 illustrates 4 spacers) to maintain the pile centered within an outer wall through the use of spacers positioned at different depths within the wellbore. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the structure as disclosed by De Medieros, Jr. et al. in view of Holley, Jr. with the fins as taught by Allen to maintain the pile centered within an outer wall through the use of spacers positioned at different depths within the wellbore.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Medieros, Jr. et al. De Medieros, Jr. et al. discloses all of the limitations of the above claim(s) except for the junction piece includes a screw flange. De Medieros, Jr. et al. teaches a mechanical connection between the junction piece and the construction (column 3, lines 41 – 53) to provide an inexpensive connection between the pile and the construction for use in deep water locations. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a mechanical connection comprising a screw flange as a matter of design choice within the skill of the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SEAN D. ANDRISH whose telephone number is (571)270-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 7:30am - 5:00pm, Alternate Fri off, EST.

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sunil Singh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672

Sunil Singh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3672

SDA
10/13/2008